PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

re Application of: Mann

08/843,162 Serial No.:

April 11, 1997

Group Art Unit: 3634 Examiner: Chin Shue, A

Atty. Doc. No.: 515-001

For: PORTABLE TREESTAND WITH A RECLINING AND RETRACTABLE RECEIVED

SEAT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

JUN 1 5 2001

TRANSMITTAL

SIR:

TO 3600 MAIL ROOM

Submitted herewith is:

Request for Reconsideration of the Decision 1. Dated May 24, 2001 on Applicant's Petition to Revive Improperly Abandoned Application;

Declaration Attesting to Mailing of Patent RECEIVED 2. Office Correspondence under 37 C.F.R. § JUN 1 8 2001 1.8(a) and Non-Receipt of Further Patent Office Correspondence; OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Copy of Decision Dated May 24, 2001 on Applicant's Petition to Revive Improperly Abandoned Application; and

Copy of Notice of Abandonment. 4.

Date: June 7, 2001

tfully **g**ubmitted,

David M. Hill Req. No. 46,170 WARD & OLIVO 708 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10017

(212) 697-6262

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the data indicated below.

Hill David M.

46,170

06/07/01

(Name)

(Signature)

(Req. No.)

(Date)

PATENT

ED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

In re Application of: Mann

JUN 1 8 2001

Serial No.:

08/843,162

Group Art Unit: 3634

April 11, 1997

Examiner: Chin Shue, AUFFICE OF PETITIONS

Atty. Doc. No.: 515-001

For: PORTABLE TREESTAND WITH A RECLINING AND RETRACTABLE

'SEAT

RECEIVED

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

JUN 1 5 200%

TO 3600 MAIL ROOM

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE DECISION DATED MAY 24,2001 ON APPLICANT'S PETITION TO REVIVE IMPROPERLY ABANDONED APPLICATION

SIR:

It is respectfully requested that the May 24, 2001 decision dismissing the petition to revive the abovereferenced application, which was improperly abandoned by the Patent and Trademark Office pursuant to a Notice of Abandonment dated February 26, 2001, be reconsidered and the application revived for the reasons stated in the attached Declaration by the undersigned attorney. believed that no fee is required as the abandonment was not the result of any error by the Applicant.

Date: <u>June 7, 200</u>1

Respectfully submitted,

David M. Hill Req. No. 46,170 WARD & OLIVO

708 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10017

(212) 697-6262



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

$\Delta \gamma$		110		
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
				515-001

08/843,162

WARD & OLIVE

708 THIRD AVENUE

NEW YORK NY 10017

04/11/97

MANN

1 PM82/0226

EXAMINER

CHIN SHUE, A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3634

DATE MAILED:

02/26/01

NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

	RECEIVED
This	Application is abandoned in view of: Applicant's failure to timely file a proper response to the Office letter mailed on Was received on CLEICE OF PETITIONS
4	'Applicant's failure to timely file a proper response to the Office letter mailed on
	A response (with a Certificate of Mailing of Transmission of, was reserved an UFFICE OF TERMINISTRATE , which is after the expiration of the period for response (including a total extension of
	time ofmonth(s)) which expired on
	A proposed response was received on, but it does not constitute a proper response to the final rejection.
	(A proper response to a final rejection consists only of: a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; a Notice of Appeal; or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.62 (FWC).
	No response has been received.
	Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance.
	The issue fee (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of) was received on
	The submitted issue fee of \$ is insufficient. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$
	The issue fee has not been received.
	Applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as required in the Notice of Allowability.
	Proposed new formal drawings (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of) were received on
	The proposed new formal drawings filed are not acceptable.
	☐ No proposed new formal drawings have been received.
	The express abandonment under 37 CFR 1.62(g) in favor of the FWC application filed on
Ð	The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
	The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a) upon the filing of a continuing application.
	The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
	The reason(s) helow:

AMn Chin-Shue **Primary Examiner**

FORM PTO-1432 (REV. 10-95)



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Paper No. 20

MAY 2 4 2001

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

PETITION AJC PATENTS

COPY MAILED

WARD & .OLIVE 708 THIRD AVENUE

NEW YORK, NY 10017

In re Application of

Mann

Application No. 08/843,162

Filed: April 11, 1997

FOR PORTABLE TREESTAND WITH A

This is a decision on the petition filed March 8, 2001 under 37 RECLINING AND RETRACTABLE SEAT CFR 1.181 requesting that the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application be withdrawn.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be Any request for reconsideration of this decision.

Submitted within TWO (2) MONTH from mail date of this decision. The petition is $\underline{\text{DISMISSED}}$. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The Extensions of time under 3/ CFK 1.130(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled should include a cover letter entitled reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled reconsideration under 37. CFR 1.137(b)." This is not a final agency decision

The application became abandoned August 25, 2000. The application was abandoned for failure to timely respond to the non-final office action mailed July 24. 2000 The non-final office action mailed July 24. was abandoned for railure to timely respond to the non-final office action office action mailed July 24, 2000. The non-final office of time in set a one (1) period of time for reply No extensions of time for reply no extensions. UITICE action mailed July 24, Zuuu. The non-Ilnal UITICE action set a one (1) period of time for reply. No extensions of time in accordance with 37 CFD 1 136/2) were requested. Motice of accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were requested. Notice of

Petitioner asserts non-receipt of the July 24, 2000 Office action and requests that the holding of shandonment he withdrawn Abandonment was mailed February 26, 2001.

and requests that the holding of abandonment be withdrawn. Petitioner has provided no evidence to support the assertion of non-receipt of the Office action. The instant petition is not supported by documentary synderce to overcome the programment of the operation o supported by documentary evidence to overcome the presumption that the communication was properly mailed to the applicant at Supported by accumentary evidence to overcome the presumption that the communication was properly mailed to the applicant at the correspondence address of record. The standard for overcome the correspondence address of record. that the communication was properly malled to the applicant at the correspondence address of record. The standard for overcoming the procumption of recoint of an Office Communication is get

the presumption of receipt of an Office communication is set forth in Delgar v. Schuyler, 172 USPQ 513 (D.D.C. 1971).

Detitioner's attention is also directed to MDED 711 02/6 rorth in <u>Dergar V. Schuyter</u>, 1/2 Jory Jij (D.D.C. 1971).

Petitioner's attention is also directed to MPEP 711.03(c) for further guidance on this matter

Should petitioner wish to have the holding of abandonment reconsidered, a thorough explanation supported by documentary further guidance on this matter. reconstuered, a thorough explanation supported by documentary vertices of the supported by documentary vertices set forth under Delgar vertices of the supported by documentary vertices of the supported Schuyler, supra, and MPEP 711.03(c) must be submitted.

Petitioner is advised that should the petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment be granted upon renewed petition, the Office action of July 24, 2000 will be remailed and a new period of time for reply instated.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Commissioner for Patents

Box DAC

Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

By facsimile: (703) 308-6916

JUN 1 8 2001

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

By hand:

Office of Petitions

2201 South Clark Place

Crystal Plaza 4, Suite 03C23

Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to Petitions Attorney Alesia M. Brown at (703) 305-0310.

Beverly M. Flanagan

Supervisory Petitions Examiner

B. 1-111. Ila.

Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy